

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,948	09/763,948 06/08/2001		Thomas Jackson	3672-0111P	3940	
2292 7590 07/02/2004				EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				FREDMAN, JEFF	FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1637		
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) JACKSON ET AL. 09/763.948 Advisory Action **Art Unit** Examiner 1637 Jeffrey Fredman -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ___ Claim(s) objected to: 9,21 and 25. Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-20 and 22-24</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ____ 10. Other: ____ Jeffrev Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 163

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 20040630

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/763,948

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request was considered but the extensive arguments are directed towards the invention and not towards the claims. Using claim 1 as the exemplary claim, there is no requirement that the semiconductor be active in any way in the claim (as discussed at page 9 of the response). Applicant's entire argument leading up to page 9 discusses the different features of the invention but does not coordinate this discussion with the specification of the current invention and point out where these features are found. Finally, the issue in a product claim is whether the product is structurally the same. Here, the products appear to be structurally identical insofar as the claim requires. This is sufficient to support the rejection. For these reasons, the rejection is maintained. Claim 9, for example, is not included in the rejection because it has a structural difference from the prior art cited.